Tuhin & Partners

NEXUS OF LAW & BUSINESS

PUBLICATION

Legal Aspects of Loan Default and Debt Recovery in Bangladesh

In Bangladesh, taking loans from banks and financial institutions is very common for businesses and individuals. These loans help people start businesses, build houses, or meet personal needs. However, not all loans are paid back on time.

4 August 2026

tnp.legal

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

Introduction

1.1 Background

In Bangladesh, taking loans from banks and financial institutions is very common for businesses and individuals. These loans help people start businesses, build houses, or meet personal needs. However, not all loans are paid back on time. When someone fails to repay a loan within the agreed time, it is called a loan default.

Loan default is a serious issue in Bangladesh. It affects the financial system and slows down the country's economic growth. Banks cannot give new loans when they do not get back the money from old loans. As a result, honest borrowers also suffer. To deal with this problem, the government has made several laws and policies. These laws aim to recover the money from defaulters and protect the interests of both banks and borrowers.

1.2 Significance of the Study

Understanding the legal aspects of loan default and debt recovery is very important in today's context. In recent years, the number of loan defaulters in Bangladesh has increased. This is harming the banking sector and creating pressure on the economy.

By studying this topic, people can learn how the laws in Bangladesh work to control loan defaults and recover money. It will also help us understand if the current laws are strong enough or if they need improvement. This study will be helpful for bankers, lawyers, policymakers, students, and general people who want to learn about the legal process of debt recovery.

1.3 Objectives

The main objectives of this study are:

To understand the reasons behind loan default in Bangladesh.

To explore the existing legal framework for debt recovery.

To identify the challenges in recovering loans through legal means.

To suggest possible solutions to improve the loan recovery system.

1.4 Scope

This study focuses on the legal side of loan default and debt recovery in Bangladesh. It will discuss key laws such as the Artha Rin Adalat Ain 2003, the Bank Companies Act, 1991, and the role of Bangladesh

Bank. The study will also look into court procedures, the effectiveness of recovery measures, and recent cases. The focus will remain on the legal procedures and policies related to loan default and recovery.

CHAPTER 2

Conceptual Framework

2.1 Definition of Loan and Credit Facilities

A loan is an amount of money that a person or organization borrows from a bank or financial institution, with an agreement to repay it over time, along with interest. Credit facilities refer to various types of financial support that banks provide to individuals or businesses, including loans, overdrafts, and credit lines. These facilities help people to start businesses, buy homes, or manage personal needs, and they help businesses grow by giving them working capital or investment support.

2.2 Types of Loans and Lending Institutions

In Bangladesh, loans can be broadly divided into the following types:

Personal Loans: Given to individuals for personal needs, such as education, marriage, or medical expenses.

Business Loans: Provided to small, medium, or large enterprises for starting or expanding businesses.

Agricultural Loans: Offered to farmers for buying seeds, fertilisers, or equipment.

Industrial Loans: Granted to factories and industries to set up or expand operations.

Housing Loans: Given for building or buying homes.

The major lending institutions in Bangladesh include:

Scheduled Banks: Like Sonali Bank, Islami Bank, BRAC Bank, etc.

Non-Banking Financial Institutions (NBFIs): Like IDLC Finance, LankaBangla, etc.

Microfinance Institutions (MFIs): Such as Grameen Bank, ASA, and BRAC, which offer small loans to the rural poor.

2.3 Causes of Loan Default

Loan default happens when a borrower fails to repay the loan as per the agreement. In Bangladesh, the main reasons for loan default are:

Misuse of loan money: Borrowers often use the money for purposes other than what they stated when applying for the loan.

Lack of proper project planning: Businesses may fail due to poor planning or management, leading to non-payment.

Political influence and corruption: Some borrowers misuse political power to get large loans without proper scrutiny, and later avoid repayment.

Natural disasters: In rural areas, floods, cyclones, or droughts often destroy crops and affect loan repayment ability.

Economic instability: Inflation, price hikes, or poor market demand can lead to business loss and default.

Weak monitoring by banks: Some banks do not properly check how loans are used or whether borrowers are capable of paying back.

2.4 How Someone Becomes a Loan Defaulter

A person becomes a loan defaulter when they fail to pay their loan instalment or interest for a certain period as per the loan agreement. According to Bangladesh Bank rules, if a borrower fails to repay for three consecutive months, the loan is considered classified or non-performing.

Banks often try to remind the borrower and restructure the loan. But if payments still don't come, the person is marked as a defaulter. Once marked, they may be listed on the Credit Information Bureau (CIB) of the Bangladesh Bank. Being on this list means they cannot easily get new loans or do certain business activities.

2.5 Impact of Loan Default on the Economy

Loan default has serious negative effects on the economy of Bangladesh:

Loss for Banks: When loans are not recovered, banks suffer financial losses. This weakens their ability to give new loans to other people or businesses.

Higher Interest Rates: To cover their losses, banks often increase interest rates, which affects honest borrowers.

Less Investment and Growth: If banks have fewer funds, they cannot support new industries or development projects.

Increased Pressure on Public Money: In the case of state-owned banks, the government may need to use public money (taxpayers' money) to keep the banks running.

Loss of Trust: Frequent defaults reduce the public's confidence in the banking system and the rule of law.

CHAPTER 3

Legal Framework Governing Loans in Bangladesh

Bangladesh's financial sector operates under a comprehensive set of laws and guidelines aimed at ensuring responsible lending and effective debt recovery. This chapter explores key legal instruments that shape the country's credit and debt recovery landscape.

- Credit Risk Management (CRM) Guidelines, 2016

The CRM Guidelines were introduced by the Bangladesh Bank to help banks minimize credit risks and ensure sound lending practices. Banks must assess borrowers' financial health, repayment capacity, and collateral before sanctioning loans, reducing the risk of default.

Monitoring loan performance is mandatory to identify potential issues early and implement corrective measures. For example, Frequent reviews of borrowers' financial conditions help banks address risks proactively.

These guidelines limit exposure to individual borrowers or sectors, preventing over-concentration and ensuring diversified loan portfolios.

Transparency in loan terms is essential, allowing borrowers to fully understand their obligations and rights.

- The Bank Companies Act, 1991
- The Act establishes the legal framework for the functioning of banks, ensuring stability, governance, and accountability. For example, it defines permissible banking activities, including lending, investment, and handling deposits.
- Section 27B prohibits banks from lending to directors or related parties without specific approvals, reducing conflicts of interest.
- Banks must maintain adequate capital and liquidity reserves to protect against unexpected financial shocks.
- The Act empowers the Bangladesh Bank to supervise and regulate banking activities, ensuring compliance and safeguarding public interests. For example, Routine audits and compliance checks help maintain financial discipline and prevent malpractices.
- The Artha Rin Adalat Ain, 2003
- The Artha Rin Adalat Ain provides a dedicated legal framework for resolving disputes related to loan defaults efficiently. For example, Creditors can initiate cases for recovering loans exceeding BDT 1 lakh in specialized financial courts.
- Borrowers have the right to defend themselves, ensuring fair legal proceedings.

- Courts are empowered to pass orders for the seizure or sale of collateral to recover unpaid debts. Example: A bank can auction a defaulter's mortgaged property following a court order.
- Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms are encouraged, enabling amicable settlements without lengthy litigation.
- Fraudulent claims or defences attract penalties, ensuring integrity in legal processes.
- The Transfer of Property Act, 1882
- This Act governs property transfers, including mortgages, which are crucial in securing loans. For example, Sections 58-104 outline the processes for creating, enforcing, and foreclosing mortgages.
- Borrowers retain the right to redeem mortgaged property upon fulfilling loan repayment obligations: A borrower can reclaim property by settling outstanding dues before foreclosure.
- Lenders have the right to sell mortgaged properties if borrowers default, ensuring recovery of the loan amount.
- The Act prioritizes claims of secured creditors, providing clarity during insolvency or liquidation. Example: During bankruptcy proceedings, mortgage holders are paid before unsecured creditors
- The Public Demands Recovery Act, 1913
- This Act provides a streamlined process for recovering public debts, including loans from government entities or state banks. Example: Creditors can issue certificates for recovering dues, enabling swift enforcement of claims.
- Borrowers have the right to contest recovery actions, ensuring procedural fairness.
- District collectors are authorized to seize property or enforce recovery orders efficiently. For example, Defaulters' assets can be auctioned to clear public debts.
- Summary proceedings allow expedited recovery of undisputed claims, minimizing delays and administrative burdens.

This chapter highlights how these legal instruments collectively ensure financial discipline, protect lender interests, and uphold borrower rights in Bangladesh's credit system.

CHAPTER 4

Legal Aspects of Loan Default

- Identification and Classification of Defaulted Loans

According to the Bangladesh Bank's Loan Classification Circular, issued on November 27, 2024, a loan is considered past due if it is not repaid within the specified repayment period. If it remains unpaid for more than 90 days, it will be regarded as a default. Once defaulted, it enters the non-performing loan (NPL) category, which has three stages. If a loan remains unpaid after becoming non-performing for 3 to 6 months, it is classified as substandard. If it remains unpaid for 6 to 12 months, it is classified as doubtful, and if it remains unpaid for more than 12 months, it is identified as a bad or loss loan.

- Role of Central Bank in Monitoring Defaults

Bangladesh Bank, as the central bank of Bangladesh, issues comprehensive guidelines on loan classification and provisioning. The Bangladesh Bank maintains a Credit Information Bureau that provides default information on bank loans for candidates, which assists banks and non-bank financial institutions (NBFIs) with correct credit information of borrowers. The Bangladesh Bank may inspect, reclassify loans, and impose penalties or restrictions on banks for non-compliance with provisioning and classification regulations. These include supervision and inspection as per Section 45, removal of directors under Section 46, and exercise of regulation-making authority under Section 49 of the Bank Company Act, 1991.

Penalties and Legal Consequences

According to BRPD Circular No. 15, dated 27 November 2024, and earlier circulars, a loan defaulter in Bangladesh faces several regulatory consequences, including being barred from obtaining new loans from any scheduled bank or non-bank financial institution (NBFI), and being disqualified from holding the position of director in any bank or financial institution under Section 23(1) of the Bank Company Act, 1991. Additionally, the defaulter’s name is reported to the Credit Information Bureau (CIB), severely affecting future credit access.

High-profile Cases and Precedents

Bangladesh has witnessed several high-profile loan default cases. For instance, Western Marine Shipyard Ltd has accumulated a total debt of Tk 1,855.39 crore, of which Tk 1,529.74 crore has been classified as defaulted. Another notable example is the S. Alam Group, which reportedly withdrew approximately Tk 2.25 lakh crore from ten banks under its direct or indirect control.

CHAPTER 5

Debt Recovery Mechanisms

- Legal Framework of Debt Recovery Mechanisms

5.1.1 Credit Risk Management (CRM) Guidelines, 2016

Issued by Bangladesh Bank, these guidelines provide a structured approach for banks to assess, mitigate, and monitor credit risks. They incorporate elements of borrower risk grading (BRG), internal control mechanisms, and early warning systems to prevent defaults and facilitate recovery, aligning with international best practices and Basel III standards.

5.1.2 The Bank Companies Act, 1991

This Act, especially Sections 27KaKa, 45, 46, and 49, provides Bangladesh Bank with the legal authority to regulate bank operations, classify and provision loans, and take enforcement actions in case of recovery failures. It is the basis for ensuring financial discipline in banking operations.

5.1.3 The Artha Rin Adalat Ain, 2003

This specialized law provides for the establishment of Artha Rin Adalat for the purpose of recovering defaulted loans. Under Section 5 of the Act, banks can file suits for recovery, and Section 34 allows for execution of decrees through asset seizure and sale.

5.1.4 The Transfer of Property Act, 1882

Under Section 69, mortgagees are allowed to sell mortgaged property without court intervention, provided the conditions are met. This provision is invoked in enforcing mortgage rights and disposing of immovable collateral in case of loan defaults.

5.1.5 The Public Demands Recovery Act, 1913

Applicable primarily to state-owned banks and financial institutions, this Act allows arrears to be treated as public demands. Under Section 4 of the Act, the Certificate Officer has the authority to issue recovery certificates, and administrative measures can be taken to recover dues.

5.2 Judicial and Non-Judicial Remedies

Judicial remedies involve filing suits under the Artha Rin Adalat Ain, 2003, and the Civil Procedure Code, 1908, while non-judicial remedies include loan rescheduling, restructuring, and compromise settlements as outlined in the BRPD Circular.

5.3 Recovery through Asset Seizure and Auction

Under Sections 33 and 34 of the Artha Rin Adalat Ain, 2003, courts may direct the seizure and auction of debtor assets following a decree. Enforcement of mortgage rights under the Transfer of Property Act, 1882, and public auction procedures must comply with legal valuation standards to ensure fairness.

5.4 Role of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)

The legal framework in Bangladesh promotes ADR in debt recovery through the Money Loan Court Act, 2003. Section 22 of the Act requires courts to encourage mediation before trial. Unlike the CPC, this Act allows for post-trial mediation attempts before a final judgment or order is passed, in accordance with Section 23 of the Act. Through BRPD Circular No. 11, issued on 12 May 2024, the Bangladesh Bank encourages financial institutions to resolve default loan issues through negotiation, settlement, and compromise before initiating litigation.

CHAPTER 6

Challenges in Debt Recovery

Debt recovery in Bangladesh faces significant challenges despite the existence of specialized legal frameworks such as the Artha Rin Adalat Ain 2003 and the Bank Companies Act 1991. Although these laws provide formal mechanisms to recover defaulted loans, the process is often inefficient due to systemic, political, and institutional hurdles.

6.1. Procedural Delays and Court Backlogs

A key challenge is the delay in adjudicating loan recovery cases. Artha Rin Adalat courts, designated for financial litigation, are burdened with thousands of pending cases. Many suits remain unresolved for years due to procedural complexities, limited judicial capacity, and frequent adjournments. According to the Bangladesh Bank, over 80% of loan recovery cases are unresolved after two years, discouraging creditors and enabling strategic defaulting. Debtors often use legal tactics, such as filing writs and appeals, to stall enforcement.

6.2. Political and Business Influence

Debt recovery is frequently obstructed by the involvement of politically influential borrowers. Large defaulters with strong political connections often avoid legal consequences, exploiting their influence over financial institutions and regulators. Even after court verdicts, enforcement against such individuals is rarely pursued due to fear of political backlash, undermining the rule of law and equality before the law.

6.3. Corruption and Lack of Transparency

Corruption undermines the integrity of the entire loan recovery process. At the loan approval stage, violations of Credit Risk Management (CRM) guidelines are common due to collusion between borrowers and bank officials. During recovery, auctions of seized assets are sometimes manipulated, with undervalued sales to associates of defaulters. Transparency in the recovery process is minimal, making it difficult to ensure fair outcomes.

6.4. Weak Enforcement of Judgments

Even when banks secure decrees in court, enforcement is often ineffective. Bailiffs and local authorities are under-resourced and sometimes reluctant to act against powerful individuals. In many cases, recovery orders are not executed due to a lack of coordination between courts, land registries, and law enforcement. This weakens the deterrent value of legal action and allows habitual defaulters to continue evading repayment.

6.5. Institutional and Regulatory Limitations

Regulatory bodies such as the Bangladesh Bank lack effective monitoring mechanisms for follow-up on recovery. While they issue circulars and guidelines, enforcement remains weak. The absence of a centralised credit database enables defaulters to obtain multiple loans from different institutions. Moreover, many tribunals are overburdened, with inadequate staffing and infrastructure, resulting in delays and low-quality adjudication.

Debt recovery in Bangladesh is constrained by legal inefficiencies, political interference, corruption, and institutional weakness. Without systemic reform and stronger enforcement, the recovery framework will remain largely ineffective. Strengthening judicial capacity, ensuring transparency, and insulating institutions from political pressure are essential to restoring confidence in the system.

CHAPTER 7

Comparative Legal Perspectives

Debt recovery systems vary across jurisdictions based on legal infrastructure, financial discipline, and enforcement mechanisms. This chapter examines the legal approaches of India, Sri Lanka, Pakistan, and select developed countries to identify practical lessons applicable to Bangladesh.

7.1. Debt Recovery Mechanisms in India

India has developed a robust institutional framework for handling bad loans. The Recovery of Debts Due to Banks and Financial Institutions Act 1993 led to the establishment of Debt Recovery Tribunals (DRTs), offering banks a faster route for resolving disputes. Additionally, the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest (SARFAESI) Act 2002 empowers banks to seize collateral without court intervention in certain cases.

More recently, the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC) 2016 introduced time-bound resolution of corporate insolvency. The IBC has enhanced creditor confidence and improved recovery rates. Bangladesh could learn from the IBC's emphasis on centralized adjudication and its strict timelines.

7.2. Legal Approaches in Sri Lanka and Pakistan

In Sri Lanka, the Debt Recovery (Special Provisions) Act 1990 enables banks to file simplified recovery actions through a streamlined process. Commercial High Courts are designated to expedite such matters, reducing procedural delays.

Pakistan has implemented the Financial Institutions (Recovery of Finances) Ordinance 2001, which establishes banking courts to recover defaulted loans. However, similar to Bangladesh, enforcement remains weak due to judicial delays and elite interference. Reforms in Pakistan have focused on digitalization and greater regulatory oversight to improve transparency.

Both countries illustrate that while specialized courts are helpful, their effectiveness depends on institutional support, political neutrality, and resource availability.

7.3. Lessons from Developed Jurisdictions

Developed countries such as the United Kingdom and the United States adopt creditor-friendly but balanced systems. In the UK, the Insolvency Act 1986 and the Enterprise Act 2002 focus on rescuing viable businesses and penalizing dishonest debtors. The U.S. uses Chapter 11 and Chapter 7 bankruptcy mechanisms to either reorganize or liquidate debtor assets efficiently, supervised by federal bankruptcy courts.

These systems stress automation, judicial efficiency, and debtor-creditor balance. Additionally, credit scoring systems, public defaulter databases, and independent receivers enhance accountability and transparency, practices largely absent in Bangladesh.

7.4. Applicability to the Bangladeshi Context

Bangladesh can adopt several features from these jurisdictions. Establishing a fast-track insolvency mechanism akin to India's IBC, enhancing asset recovery laws like SARFAESI, and modernizing court procedures with digital case management are critical.

Moreover, creating an independent authority for loan classification and public disclosure of large defaulters, like India's Credit Information Bureau (CIBIL), could promote borrower discipline. However, any reform must be tailored to Bangladesh's socio-political context, where institutional independence and corruption remain persistent barriers.

While legal frameworks for debt recovery exist in Bangladesh, comparative experiences from India, Sri Lanka, Pakistan, and developed countries reveal that enforcement efficiency, institutional autonomy, and borrower transparency are crucial. Tailored reforms, drawing from global best practices, are necessary to enhance the credibility and performance of Bangladesh's debt recovery system.

CHAPTER 8

Reform Proposals and Policy Recommendations

8.1 Legal and Institutional Reforms

8.1.1 Modernization of Financial LawsMany of Bangladesh's financial laws, including the Artha Rin Adalat Ain of 2003, were enacted when the financial sector was less complex and digital transactions were minimal. Modernizing these laws to incorporate provisions for electronic evidence, faster adjudication, and digital documentation will reduce case backlogs and improve efficiency. Legal reforms should also address emerging financial instruments like fintech loans and crowdfunding, ensuring they fall under clear regulatory oversight. Such updates will align Bangladesh's financial legal framework with international standards, fostering investor confidence and better protection for both lenders and borrowers.

8.1.2 Establishment of Specialized Financial CourtsThe introduction of specialized courts dedicated solely to financial disputes would significantly improve the quality and speed of judgments. These courts, staffed with judges trained in financial and banking law, would have the expertise necessary to understand complex financial instruments and risk profiles. This specialization not only expedites the recovery process but also ensures decisions are made with a clear understanding of banking operations and commercial realities, reducing the scope for appeals based on misinterpretation. Such courts also help reduce the workload of general courts, allowing better judicial resource allocation.

8.1.3 Development of a Centralized Collateral RegistryOne of the major challenges faced by lenders is the risk of fraudulent claims or multiple loans secured by the same collateral. A centralized digital collateral registry accessible by banks and government agencies can provide real-time data on the status of collateral assets. This registry would enhance transparency, making it easier to verify ownership and existing claims, thus reducing fraud. Additionally, such a system would speed up loan processing and help in timely recovery by ensuring that collateral details are up-to-date and easily

accessible to all stakeholders. This initiative supports a more secure lending environment.

8.2 Strengthening Regulatory Oversight

8.2.1 Real-time Monitoring and EnforcementBangladesh Bank needs to adopt more advanced real-time monitoring systems that enable early identification of risky loan accounts and non-performing assets. Early detection of stress in loan portfolios allows regulators and banks to intervene proactively, preventing defaults from escalating into systemic crises. Alongside monitoring, regulatory enforcement powers should be strengthened to impose timely penalties on institutions violating lending norms. This dual approach encourages a culture of compliance and risk mitigation, protecting the overall stability of the financial sector.

8.2.2 Continuous Updating of Risk Management GuidelinesEconomic conditions and sectoral risks evolve constantly, necessitating frequent updates to Credit Risk Management Guidelines. These updates should incorporate lessons learned from recent financial crises, integrate global best practices, and address emerging risks such as cybersecurity threats in digital banking. Regular reviews also provide clarity and guidance to financial institutions on evolving regulatory expectations, ensuring a proactive approach to credit risk management rather than reactive responses.

8.2.3 Independent and Comprehensive AuditsConducting independent audits of bank loan portfolios by external experts can enhance transparency and uncover hidden risks that internal teams might overlook. Such audits provide an objective assessment of loan quality, risk concentration, and compliance with regulatory frameworks. The findings should be used to advise banks on remedial measures and to inform supervisory actions. Encouraging financial institutions to embrace these audits promotes a culture of accountability and continuous improvement, safeguarding depositors' interests and maintaining market confidence.

8.3 Enhancing the Capacity of Debt Recovery Tribunals

8.3.1 Expanding Tribunal InfrastructureMany debt recovery tribunals in Bangladesh face severe case backlogs due to inadequate numbers and poor geographic coverage. Expanding the number of tribunals, especially in rural and underserved areas, will provide easier access to justice for borrowers and creditors alike. This decentralization reduces travel and procedural costs, speeding up dispute resolution. A broader

tribunal network also helps prevent the overburdening of existing courts, improving the quality of judicial outcomes.

8.3.2 Specialized Training and Capacity BuildingTribunal officials often lack the specialized knowledge required to adjudicate complex financial cases effectively. Regular training programs on financial regulations, emerging lending practices, and dispute resolution techniques will equip officials with the necessary skills. Knowledgeable adjudicators are better positioned to interpret financial documents accurately and make balanced decisions, ensuring fairness and legal certainty. Such capacity building also fosters confidence among stakeholders in the tribunal system.

8.3.3 Implementation of Digital Case Management SystemsThe introduction of digital platforms for filing, tracking, and managing cases can revolutionize tribunal efficiency. Digitization reduces paperwork, minimizes errors, and enhances transparency by allowing both creditors and debtors to monitor case status in real time. It also facilitates better record keeping and timely scheduling of hearings, which shortens overall case duration. Embracing technology in tribunals aligns with global trends in e-justice and improves user satisfaction.

8.4 Promoting Financial Literacy and Borrower Accountability

8.4.1 Targeted Financial Literacy ProgramsFinancial literacy remains low among many borrowers, especially in rural and marginalized communities. Targeted education programs that explain loan terms, interest calculations, repayment responsibilities, and rights can significantly reduce default rates. Collaborations with NGOs, microfinance institutions, and community groups enhance the outreach and relevance of these programs, ensuring they address local challenges and cultural contexts effectively.

8.4.2 Mandatory Pre-loan Counseling and AssessmentRequiring financial counselling prior to loan disbursement helps borrowers understand the implications of taking loans and assess their repayment capacity realistically. This is particularly important for micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) and microfinance borrowers who may lack formal financial knowledge. Pre-loan counselling can guide borrowers toward appropriate loan products and prevent over-indebtedness.

8.4.3 Accessible Grievance Redressal MechanismsEstablishing easy-to-use platforms for grievance redressal and financial advice empowers borrowers to raise concerns early and seek solutions before defaults occur. Such mechanisms increase borrower confidence in the system and improve transparency. They also provide regulators and

lenders with feedback on systemic issues, enabling proactive problem-solving and policy adjustments.

8.5 Encouraging Responsible Lending

8.5.1 Rigorous Due Diligence ProcessesFinancial institutions should implement strict due diligence when approving high-value loans, including a detailed analysis of borrowers' financial health, credit history, and project feasibility. Such rigour minimises non-performing loans and promotes sustainable credit growth. The adoption of advanced risk assessment tools and data analytics can improve the precision of these evaluations.

8.5.2 Integration of Social and Environmental CriteriaLinking lending decisions to social and environmental considerations supports sustainable development objectives. Loans aligned with green initiatives or social impact projects receive preferential treatment, encouraging investments that benefit society and the environment. This approach also aligns Bangladesh's financial sector with global sustainable finance trends.

8.5.3 Incentives for Inclusive LendingOffering tax breaks, subsidies, or other incentives for loans extended to underserved sectors such as small businesses, women entrepreneurs, and rural communities encourages financial inclusion. These incentives can motivate banks to diversify their portfolios and support economic empowerment initiatives. Additionally, innovative lending models like impact investing and green loans can attract socially conscious investors, further expanding responsible lending practices

CHAPTER 9

Conclusion

9.1 Summary of Key Findings

This study highlights critical insights into Bangladesh's loan default and debt recovery landscape. The legal framework, comprising the Artha Rin Adalat Ain, the Bank Companies Act, and the Transfer of Property Act, provides a robust foundation but is marred by inefficiencies such as procedural delays, inadequate enforcement, and limited tribunal capacity. Regulatory oversight by Bangladesh Bank is pivotal but requires modernization to address contemporary challenges like digital transactions and non-performing assets. Additionally, systemic corruption, political interference, and a lack of borrower accountability exacerbate defaults, revealing significant gaps in financial literacy and responsible lending practices. Comprehensive reforms are essential to ensure transparency, fairness, and stability in the credit ecosystem.

9.2 Concluding Remarks

Bangladesh's financial sector, while equipped with a foundational legal framework, faces substantial challenges in loan recovery and credit risk management. Strengthening institutional capacities, promoting borrower education, and enhancing regulatory mechanisms are crucial to overcoming these obstacles. The interconnectedness of legal, institutional, and social factors underscores the need for a holistic approach. Reforming outdated laws, empowering regulatory bodies, and fostering a culture of accountability will stabilize the financial system and enable it to meet future economic demands. Ensuring efficient debt recovery mechanisms is not only vital for lender confidence but also for safeguarding economic resilience and public trust.

9.3 Recommendations

Legal Reforms: Update the Artha Rin Adalat Ain to accommodate digital evidence and streamline processes. Establish specialized financial courts to expedite loan recovery cases and reduce the burden on general courts.

Institutional Strengthening: Expand the capacity of debt recovery tribunals by increasing their number, providing specialized training, and implementing digital case management systems to enhance efficiency.

Regulatory Enhancements: Equip Bangladesh Bank with advanced monitoring tools for real-time risk assessment. Regularly update Credit Risk Management Guidelines to address evolving economic and sectoral risks.

Borrower Education: Implement nationwide financial literacy initiatives focusing on borrower rights, responsibilities, and repayment strategies. Mandate pre-loan counseling for high-risk sectors such as SMEs and microfinance.

Promoting Sustainable Lending: Encourage inclusive and responsible lending through incentives like tax breaks for loans in underserved sectors. Foster innovative financing models, such as green loans and

Tuhin & Partners

NEXUS OF LAW & BUSINESS

Talk to us about this.

CORPORATE OFFICE

Flat G2 (6th Floor), House # 94
Road # 10, Block # D
Niketan, Gulshan-1
Dhaka-1212

REGISTERED OFFICE

Office No. 305 (2nd Floor)
3/B, Purana Paltan
Dhaka-1000

hello@tnp.legal
+8801913885588
tnp.legal

This publication is general information about the law in Bangladesh as it stood on 4 August 2026. It is not legal advice and no lawyer–client relationship arises from reading it. The law changes, and how it applies depends on the facts. Before acting on anything here, take advice on your own circumstances.